

## Study of rifampicin resistance and comparison of dapsone resistance of *M.leprae* in pre-and post-MDT era

UD Gupta<sup>1</sup>, K Katoch<sup>2</sup>, VM Katoch<sup>3</sup>

The aim of this study to study the drug resistance patterns of dapsone (pre-and post-MDT) and rifampicin (post-MDT era). All the 84 patients from pre-MDT period (1985-1990) and 77 patients for post-MDT period (1990-2002) reporting to a tertiary care hospital-NJIL & OMD, Agra and referred for drug susceptibility testing were included in the study. Drug resistance was studied by mouse foot pad method. Dapsone resistance was high during pre-MDT era i.e. 8.3% (medium) and 19.1% (high) with an overall dapsone resistance of 27.4%. During the post-MDT era, the dapsone resistance was low i.e. 1.3% (medium) and 3.9% (high) respectively (overall dapsone resistance-5.2%). While no comparison with pre-MDT era is available, the rifampicin resistance in these selected self-reporting cases during the post-MDT era was comparatively rather high (9.1%). MDT appears to have been useful in reducing the prevalence of dapsone resistance in leprosy patients reporting to a tertiary care hospital.

**Key words :** Drug resistance, MDT, Leprosy

### Introduction

Treatment of leprosy at individual patient and at public health level has been one of the most important success stories of the modern medicine. The clinical application of dapsone was a major event in the treatment of this disease. Subsequently, several other drugs like rifampicin (RFM), clofazamine (CLF), prothionamide (PTH), ethionamide (ETH), clarithromycin (CLA), minocycline (MINO) and ofloxacin (OFLO) have been found to effective against *M.leprae*. After the initial success of sulphone, dapsone

resistance was first reported by Pettit and Rees (1964) and around that time, dapsone resistance had become a serious public health problem all over the world (WHO 1977) and was reported from the several countries (Matsuo et al 1982, Almeida et al 1983, Balakrishnan et al 1983, Sreevatsa et al 1985, dela Cruz et al 1996). By 1982, secondary dapsone resistance had been reported from more than 25 countries (WHO 1982). Most of the resistance strains were having intermediate to high degree of resistance (Ji 1985). Since 1970s, rifampicin has also been

---

UD Gupta, PhD, Scientist F

K Katoch, MD, Scientist F and Head

VM Katoch, MD, Secretary and Director General

<sup>1</sup>Animal Experiment Facility

<sup>2</sup>Medical Unit I and Model Rural Health Research Unit, Ghatampur, Kanpur, India

National JALMA Institute for Leprosy and Other Mycobacterial Diseases (ICMR), Tajganj, Agra-282 001, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Health Research, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Govt of India and Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi-110 029, India

**Correspondence to :** UD Gupta **Email :** [gupta.umesh95@gmail.com](mailto:gupta.umesh95@gmail.com)

essential component of therapy of leprosy. Rifampicin is more bactericidal against *M. leprae* than other anti-leprotic drugs (Shepard et al 1974, Levy et al 1976). It has been found to be highly bactericidal, killing 99% of the bacilli within days by a single dose. It was used initially as monotherapy but subsequently became core drug of multidrug therapy (MDT) (WHO 1982). It kills *M. leprae* with exceptional speed in experimental animals as well as humans (Shepard et al 1974).

Pettit and Rees (1964) first reported dapsone resistance in 5 among 5000 patients in Malaysia. Subsequently in 1973, dapsone resistance among the same patients was estimated to be 25 per 10,000. Later, secondary dapsone resistance was reported from several countries ranging from 13 to 40 per 1000 treated cases. More disturbing fact was the detection of primary resistance in Ethiopia and subsequently in India and in other countries (WHO 1982). As the use of rifampicin began later reports of the rifampicin-resistance started appearing 1976 onwards (Jacobson and Hastings 1976, Hastings and Jacobson 1981, Guelpa - Luras et al 1984, Grosset et al 1989). Sreevatsa et al (1984) also reported a case of parallel dapsone and rifampicin resistance.

After the introduction of MDT, DDS-and rifampicin resistant strains of *M. leprae* continue to be reported (Butlin et al 1996, dela Cruz et al 1996, Shetty et al 1996, Kai et al 1999, Matsuoka et al 2000, Maeda et al 2001, Cambau et al 2002, Shetty et al 2003). Since MDT was introduced in India in 1983, an effort has been made in this study to compare the drug resistance patterns of dapsone (pre-and post-MDT) and rifampicin (post-MDT era) so as to compare the drug resistance pattern in pre-and post-MDT era.

### Materials and Methods

Patients attending the OPD of the institute were the subjects of the study. The patients were classified as in two groups-patients between 1985-1990 (84) who had undergone dapsone

monotherapy and the patients from 1990 to 2002 (77) who had been on MDT. All the patients which were referred for drug susceptibility testing to the experimental leprosy lab have been included in this comparison. Bacilli for inoculation into mouse foot pads were obtained by biopsy specimens from active skin lesions. The bacillary suspensions were prepared as per the standard method of Desikan and Venkataramaniah (1976) and as used subsequently at this laboratory (Gupta et al 1997) and 10,000 bacilli were inoculated in a volume of 0.03 to 0.04 ml in both foot pads of mice. BALB/c strains of the mice were used for the experiments. As the purpose of the study to screen the resistance of the organisms to dapsone and rifampicin, the mice were divided into five groups of 6 mice each, one group served as control and other groups received normal commercial chow mixed with dapsone (0.0001 %, 0.001% and 0.01% - low, medium and high) in both pre-and post-MDT era or rifampicin (at concentration of 0.001%, 0.01% and 0.3% - low, medium and high) respectively in biopsies after post-MDT era. Foot pad harvests were made after 8 and 10 months after inoculation and bacterial enumeration was done as per Desikan and Venkataramaniah (1976).

### Results

The patterns of the dapsone and rifampicin during pre- and post- MDT era are presented in Table 1. It is apparent from the Table that the dapsone resistance was quite high during pre-MDT era i.e. 8.3% and 19.1% with a overall dapsone of 27.4%. On the other hand during the post-MDT era, the dapsone resistance was quite low i.e. 1.3% (medium) and 3.9% (high) respectively (overall Dapsone resistance 5.2%). Rifampicin resistance in 77 patients during the post-MDT era was comparatively high (9.1%).

### Discussion

The high level of dapsone resistance in this study are in agreement with the results of other study (WHO 1982) while intermediate or high degree of resistance was as reported by Ji (1985). The high

**Table 1: Drug resistance patterns during pre-and post-MDT era**

Patients	Pre 1990 Dapsone		Patients	Post 1990 Dapsone		Patients	Post 1990 RFM
	Med Res	High Res		Med Res	High Res		High Res
84	7 (8.3%)	16 (19.1%)	77 (1.3%)	1 (3.9%)	3 (9.09%)	77	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>23 (27.4%)</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>4 (5.2%)</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>7 (9.09%)</b>

resistance of the dapsone in the pre-MDT era was observed due to the fact that dapsone during that era was being used as monotherapy and subsequently the low proportion of drug resistance to DDS in the post - MDT era in present study could be explained due to MDT which also includes rifampicin and clofazamine which control the emergence of DDS resistant strains. As the susceptibility patterns have been shown only in cases reported to a tertiary care hospital (NJIL&OMD, Agra), the findings of this study cannot be extrapolated epidemiologically. However, the trends are meaningful. DDS-resistant strains continue to be reported even in areas of the world after implementation of MDT (Butlin et al 1986, dela Cruz et al 1996, Kai et al 1999) which might have been due to improper coverage, irregular intake and earlier existing mutants.

As indicated in the Table 1, the rifampicin resistance in post-MDT era was on a higher side which could be biased because of self reporting cases at a tertiary care hospital (NJIL&OMD, Agra). As we do not have data of pre-MDT era, no comments about possible trends can be made. These could be backlog cases who might have been improperly treated. Situation seems to have further improved (no rifampicin resistance during the last 5 years, Gupta et al unpublished data). Based on this study, it is suggested that there is need to start proper drug resistance surveillance study(/ies) so as to monitor the impact of MDT at community level and identification of multidrug resistance (MDR) in relapsed cases in the new integrated setup.

## References

- Almeida JG, Chacko CJ, Christian M et al (1983). DDS-resistant infection among leprosy patients in the population of Gudiyatham Taluk, South India. Part 3. Prevalence, incidence, risk factors, and interpretation of mouse foot pad test results. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis.* **51**: 366-373.
- Balakrishnan S, Seshadri PS, Neelan PN et al (1983). Studies on sulphone resistant strains of *M. leprae* in field and institutionalized cases of leprosy. *Lepr India.* **55**: 71-75.
- Butlin CR, Neupane KD, Failbus SS et al (1996). Drug resistance in Nepali leprosy patients. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis.* **64**: 136-141.
- Cambau E, Bonnafoous P, Perani E et al (2002). Molecular detection of rifampin and ofloxacin resistance for patients who experience relapse of multibacillary leprosy. *Clin Infect Dis.* **34**: 39-45.
- Cellona RV, Fajardo TT Jr, Kim DI et al (1990). Joint chemotherapy trials in lepromatous leprosy conducted in Thailand, the Philippines, and Korea. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis.* **56**: 1-11.
- dela Cruz E, Cellona RV, Balagon MV et al (1996). Primary dapsone resistance in Cebu, The Philippines: causes for concern. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis.* **64**: 253-256.
- Desikan KV and Venkataramaniah HN (1976). A modified method of harvesting *M. leprae* from foot- pads of mice. *Lepr India.* **48**: 157-162.
- Guelpa-Lauras CC, Grosset JH, Constant-Desportes M et al (1984). Nine cases of rifampin - resistant leprosy. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis.* **52**: 101-102.
- Grosset JH, Guelpa-Lauras CC, Bobin P et al (1989). Study of 39 documented relapses of multibacillary leprosy after treatment with rifampin. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis.* **57**: 607-614.
- Gupta UD, Katoch K, Natrajan M et al (1997). Viability detection of *M. leprae*: comparison of normal mouse foot pad and bacillary ATP bioluminescence assay. *Acta Leprol.* **10**: 209-212.
- Hastings RC and Jacobson RR (1981). Rifampicin-resistant leprosy. *Health Co-op Papers.* **1**: 47-54.

12. Jacobson RR and Hastings RC (1976). Rifampin-resistant leprosy. *Lancet*. **2**: 1304-1305.
13. Ji B (1985). Drug resistance in leprosy-a review. *Lepr Rev*. **56**: 265-278.
14. Kai M, Matsuoka M, Nakata N et al (1999). Diamino-diphenylsulfone resistance of *Mycobacterium leprae* due to mutations in the dihydropteroate synthase gene. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*. **177**: 231-235.
15. Levy L, Shepard CC and Fasal P (1976). The bactericidal effect of rifampicin on *M. leprae* in man: a) single doses of 600,900 and 1200 mg; b) daily doses of 300 mg. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis*. **44**: 183-187.
16. Maeda S, Matsuoka M, Nakata N et al (2001). Multidrug resistant *Mycobacterium leprae* from patients with leprosy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. **45**: 3635-3639.
17. Matsuo Y, Tatsukawa H, Kim DI et al (1982). Primary dapsone-resistant leprosy in Republic of Korea. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis*. **50**: 510.
18. Matsuoka M, Kashiwabara Y and Namisato M (2000). A *Mycobacterium leprae* isolate resistant to dapsone, rifampin, ofloxacin and sparfloxacin. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis*. **68**: 452-455.
19. Pettit JHS and Rees RJW (1964). Sulphone resistance in leprosy: an experimental and clinical study. *Lancet*. **2**: 673-674.
20. Shepard CC, Levy L and Fasal P (1974). Further experience with the bactericidal effect of rifampin on *Mycobacterium leprae*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. **23**: 1120-1124.
21. Shetty VP, Uplekar MW and Antia NH (1996). Primary resistance to single and multiple drugs in leprosy-a mouse foot pad study. *Lepr Rev*. **67**: 280-286.
22. Shetty VP, Wakade AV, Ghate S et al (2003). Viability and drug susceptibility testing of *M. leprae* using mouse footpad in 37 relapse cases of leprosy. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis*. **71**: 210-217.
23. Sreevatsa, Girdhar BK, Ramu G et al (1984). Parallel dapsone and rifampicin resistance : a prospective study. *Nippon Rai Gakkai Zasshi*. **53**: 28-31.
24. Sreevatsa, Ramu G and Desikan KV (1985). Prevalence of drug resistance in Dharamapuri and a Pallipati areas of Tamil Nadu. *Indian J Lepr*. **57**: 376-382.
25. World Health Organization (1977). Experts committee on leprosy-fifth report. WHO, Geneva, Switzerland. *Tech Rep Ser*, 607.
26. World Health Organization (1982). Chemotherapy of leprosy for control programmes. WHO, Geneva, Switzerland. *Tech Rep Ser*, 675.